



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

which he brings out more clearly than any other writer with whose works we are familiar, and to those we desire to call attention.

In speaking of the London system, he pronounces it a failure. This system he calls Bazalgettism, from the distinguished engineer who has applied it to London. Its essential principle is to discharge either directly into an arm of the sea, or into a tidal river, at the time of ebb-tide. Sewage matters discharged into the river at Barking and Crossness are not pushed out to sea by the combined action of the ebbing tide and current, as was expected, but mingle with the water, and work their way back to points far above the outfalls, thus effecting that pollution which the intercepting sewers and the costly channels running parallel to the river were to have averted. Mr. Slater summarizes the matter as follows: "The Bazalgette process, as applied to London, is a total failure. It involves the utter waste of all the manurial matters in the sewage, it aids in silting up the bed of the Thames, it occasions a nuisance much complained of by the inhabitants of the country below the outfalls on both banks, its cost is exceedingly serious, and it does not even guarantee to the inhabitants of London an unpolluted river." It would be hard to conceive of a more vigorous and thorough condemnation than this which Mr. Slater applies to the sewerage system of London, and he is equally emphatic in reference to the proposed extension of the system to Thames Haven at an expense of \$20,000,000.

The disposal of sewage by irrigation meets with no better treatment at his hands. He asks, "Does irrigation effect its object without occasioning annoyance or injury to the inhabitants of the district?" He has never failed to detect an unpleasant odor when passing near an irrigation-field in warm, still weather. At Gennevilliers, near Paris, the odor on calm, autumnal evenings may, without exaggeration, be described as abominable. Mr. Slater also believes that irrigation-fields may produce actual disease in their neighborhood, although he acknowledges that the evidence is somewhat conflicting. Irrigation does not remove germs, and it encourages flies, which act as carriers of these germs, it may be of cholera or typhoid-fever. On this danger from flies the author is very emphatic. He says that some of these insects that have become saturated with putrescent matter, or actual disease-germs, enter our houses and crawl over articles of food. Others settle upon our persons, and inflict malignant wounds. Fatal illness has not unfrequently been traced to the bite of flies which feed on sewage or carrion. These flies being now recognized as among the greatest agents for carrying putrid poisons and disease-germs to the healthy, it is important that all places where they can increase and multiply, and all matters upon which they may feed, should be made offensive to them or destroyed, as the case may admit.

These opinions are sustained by the experiments of Dr. Maddox, published in the *Journal of the Royal Microscopical Society*, by which it was demonstrated that the cholera bacillus can pass in a living state through the digestive organs of flies, and also by the experiment of Dr. Grassi, who showed that when segments of the tape-worm (*Taenia solium*) were placed in water, some of the eggs remained suspended therein, and that in the intestines and excrement of flies that drank of the fluid the eggs were subsequently found. Observations made by other experimenters are also confirmatory of the fact that insects act as carriers of germs and ova of parasites. Mr. Slater believes, too, that sewage-grass is very inferior to normal herbage, and quotes experiments made by Mr. Smee, and published by him in a work entitled 'Milk in Health and Disease,' by which it was proven that milk from cows fed on irrigation-grass became sour and underwent putrefaction much sooner than that from cows fed on grass from an ordinary meadow.

In concluding the discussion of irrigation, the author says that irrigation, though an excellent method of disposing of, and at the same time utilizing sewage, when suitable land is available, where the climate is warm, and the rainfall scanty or intermittent, is not applicable where these conditions are absent. Any attempt to represent it as the only means of dealing with the sewage difficulty, and to force it upon reluctant communities, is a grave error; in fact, a crime, the motives for which are in most cases hard to trace. The methods of sewage-disposal by filtration, precipitation, destruction, distillation, and freezing, are described, and their advantages and disadvantages pointed out.

The author, in concluding his treatise, devotes more than sixty pages to giving an abstract of the specifications of the 454 patents for the chemical treatment of sewage, occasionally adding a note pointing out what he considers to be their defects.

Letters of David Ricardo to Thomas Robert Malthus. Ed. by JAMES BONAR. Oxford, Clarendon Pr. 8°. \$2.75.

THE letters in this collection were written between 1810 and 1823, the last of the series being dated only a few days before the writer's death. They are only in a minor degree personal, being mainly devoted to discussing the many questions in political economy on which Ricardo and Malthus disagreed. Unfortunately, the letters that Malthus wrote to Ricardo have never been found; so that we have only one side of the discussion, which is a drawback both to the interest and to the instructiveness of the correspondence. It is true that Ricardo often states his opponent's arguments; but such statements cannot supply the place of Malthus' own words. However, the letters will be very interesting to students of economics, illustrating as they do the views of two of the principal founders of the science. The men were personal friends, and were often in each other's company; but on economic themes they differed widely. They agreed in the main on the subjects of rent and population; but they disagreed on many matters of detail and on some of prime importance. Thus, they differed widely as to the definition of value, and as to the influence of supply and demand on the one hand, and of cost of production on the other, in determining value. They also differed as to the real nature of political economy; Malthus holding that it is an inquiry into the nature and causes of wealth, while Ricardo would confine it to the subject of distribution only (p. 175).

The two leading faults in Ricardo's published works appear with equal plainness in these letters. The first of these is his habit of fixing on one or two economic laws or forces, and tracing out their results without regard to the minor influences which often modify their action. He seems to have been aware himself of this tendency in his thinking; for he remarks in one of his letters that one of the chief causes of the differences between himself and Malthus was that he looked only to the larger and more permanent causes, while his opponent was always thinking of the minor ones. On this point, as on some others, it would have been well if the two friends had been content to learn from each other. The other defect in Ricardo's theories to which we have alluded is his constant assumption that wages are always at the starvation point, so that the slightest increase in the cost of living will necessitate a rise of wages in order that the supply of labor may be kept up. Thus, he argues that a tax on breadstuffs would lead to a rise in wages, and consequent fall in profits; whereas it might only result in reducing the standard of living among the laborers, so that the whole burden would fall upon them.

The friendship between the two correspondents, notwithstanding their difference of opinion, was of the warmest character, as is proved by many passages in these letters, and also by a remark made by Malthus after Ricardo's death, and quoted at the end of this volume. He said, "I never loved anybody out of my own family so much. Our interchange of opinions was so unreserved, and the object after which we were both inquiring was so entirely the truth and nothing else, that I cannot but think we sooner or later must have agreed." We should add, that the book is well edited, and that it contains much information, both in the text and in the notes, about Ricardo and Malthus themselves, and also about other political economists who lived in their time, so that it has a biographical as well as a scientific interest.

Lectures on Electricity. By GEORGE FORBES. London and New York, Longmans, Green, & Co. 12°. \$1.50.

A NUMBER of popular works on electricity have been published in the last few years. Some are clearly written, some are interesting, very few are calculated to give correct ideas of the broad principles of the science of electricity.

There are six lectures in Professor Forbes's book, "intended for an intelligent audience, ignorant of electrical science, but anxious to obtain sufficient knowledge of the subject to be able to follow the progress now being made in the science." For its purpose the book is admirable. The simpler phenomena—if we may consider any